



In re application of Fikes, et al.

Serial No.: 09/590,919

Filed: June 9, 2000

For: "TARGET SCORING SYSTEM" Group Art Unit: 2877

Examiner: Pham, Hoa

Confirmation No.: 3171

RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C

Customer No. 23859

December 11, 2002

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed August 14, 2002, the Applicant respectfully requests that the above-referenced patent application be re-examined and reconsidered for the reasons stated hereinbelow.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-23 are now pending in the above-captioned application. Applicant respectfully requests further examination of the application in view of the following.

Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 14-15 and 21-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,936,683 to Purcell for the reasons stated on pages 2-3 of the Office Action. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Regarding claims 1 and 21-23, the Examiner has stated that Purcell discloses "an optical tablet construction comprises an elongated retro-reflective member (72)."